



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,188	07/15/2003	Daniel Asselineau	016800-634	4954	
7	590 09/08/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
BURNS, DO	ANE, SWECKER & M	CHEU, CHANGHWA J			
P.O. Box 1404					
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR	
,			1641		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/619,188	ASSELINEAU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jacob Cheu	1641	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	·s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thir - If the period for reply specified above is less than to the period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136/ s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply w num statutory period will or reply will, by statute, ca onths after the mailing di	(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this community of the mailing date of this community of the mailing date of this community of the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date.	nication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Mar</u>	<u>rch 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL .	•	ction is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p				rits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to re	_ is/are withdrawn			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to t	by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	-	ted or b)□ objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclining 11) The oath or declaration is object				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	of: prity documents he prity documents he pries of the priority national Bureau (I	nave been received. nave been received in Appli r documents have been rec PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Review	DW /DTO 0493	4)	nary (PTO-413)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	49 or PTO/SB/08)		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Deposit Requirement

It is apparent that the PG4 antibody is required to practice the claimed invention. As a required element, it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 USC 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the cell line / hybridoma which produces this antibody. See 37 CFR 1.801-1.809.

In addition to the conditions under the Budapest Treaty, applicant is required to satisfy that <u>all</u> restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent in U.S. patent applications.

Amendment of the specification to recite the date of deposit and the complete name and address of the depository is required. As an additional means for completing the record, applicant may submit a copy of the contract with the depository for deposit and maintenance of each deposit.

NOTE THE CURRENT ATCC DEPOSITORY ADDRESS
American Type Culture Collection, 10801 University Boulevard, Manassas, VA 20110-2209

Applicant is reminded that the following and should amend the specification accordingly.

The current address of the ATCC is as follows:

American Type Culture Collection, 10801 University Boulevard, Manassas, VA 20110-2209

If the original deposit is made after the effective filing date of an application for patent, the applicant should promptly submit a verified statement from a person in a position to corroborate the fact, and should state, that the biological material which is deposited is a biological material specifically identified in the application as filed, except if the person is an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Office, in which the case the statement need not be verified. See MPEP 1.804(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Enablement

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,188

Art Unit: 1641

2. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As set forth in *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988), enablement requires that the specification teach those skilled in the art to make and use the invention without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining, whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include 1) the nature of the invention, 2) the state of the prior art, 3) the predictability or lack thereof in the art, 4) the amount of direction or guidance present, 5) the presence or absence of working examples, 6) the quantity of experimentation necessary, 7) the relative skill of those in the art, and 8) the breadth of the claims.

The instant invention recites a regime for determining whether or not a sample of skin or of skin equivalent contains an amount of a papillary fibroblast population so as to be considered a normal skin. The invention is applicable for skin-related research, such as cosmetic, surgery or aging. However, there is no guidance or detailed instructions in support of the notion as to quantify an amount of papillary fibroblast population so as to be considered normal skin. Applicant indicates that "in normal skin, the dermis comprises of at least two fibroblast populations, which can only have fundamental consequences on the skin itself." (See page 2, line 18-20) More specifically, the only working example demonstrating the labeling of papillary fibroblast in a skin sample is qualitative rather than quantative (See page 6 and Figure 1). Particularly, in Figure 1, applicant shows the distribution of PG4 antibody binding on fibroblast population in a skin sample. Nevertheless, there is no data supporting the notion that an amount of papillary fibroblast is considered normal skin reflecting by the binding of PG4 antibody. In view of the aforementioned lack of predictability in the art, undue experimentation would be required to practice the claimed methods with a reasonable expectation of success, absent a

Art Unit: 1641

specific and detailed description in the applicant's specification of how to effectively practice the recited method and absent working examples.

Page 4

Written Description

3. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed "an amount of papillary fibroblast population so as to be considered normal", and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016. One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See Fiddes v. Baird, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,188

Art Unit: 1641

Page 5

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 9, line 2, "an amount of papillary fibroblast population so as to be considered normal skin" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what constitutes a normal skin with respect to the amount of papillary fibroblast population.

With respect to claim 9, line 6, "evaluating *any* labeling" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear what applicant means "any labeling." Similarly, claim 11 shares the same problem.

With respect to claim 10, "labeling is present at the upper demis at the level of the epidermis" is vague and confusing. It is unclear where the labeling located, either upper dermis or in the epidermis. Similarly, claim 13 shares the same problem.

Conclusion

6. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,188

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Cheu whose telephone number is 571-282-0814. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CH/W Com

Jacob Cheu Examiner Art Unit 1641

August 25, 2004

LONG V. LE

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

08/31/08